



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,480	12/26/2001	Shinya Satou	381NP/50378	4438
23911	7590 10/02/2002			
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			EXAMINER	
			FERGUSON, MARISSA L	
P.O. BOX 143				
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2855	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

<del></del>	LA-III-AI					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Offic Action Summan	09/936,480	SATOU ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAII INC DATE of this communication and	Marissa L Ferguson	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/936,480

Art Unit: 2855

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Mitani et al. (U.S. Patent 5,544,529) in view of Barth (U.S. Patent 4,655,610).

Mitani et al. teaches the invention claimed as follows the correction circuit (33), the package (30), the subpackage (32) enclosing the correction circuit having on the surface a pad connected to the correction circuit (Column 5, Lines 24-47), the intake tube (1), the output terminals (29,39), and the correction circuit/detector are enclosed by the package after the pad and the output terminal are connected by a metal wire (Figure 4). However he does not explicitly disclose the substrate, the diaphragm formed on the substrate, the silicon oxide film, the polysilicon film, the thickness of the polysilicon film, and the distance of the covered part from the etchant hole.

Barth discloses the substrate (42), the diaphragm formed on the substrate (Abstract), the silicon oxide film (46), and the polysilicon film (48).

With respect to the thickness and the distance, Barth at least teaches some particular thickness and distance. In this case, to provide whatever specific thickness and distance desired including that as claimed in Barth for the purpose of avoiding

Application/Control Number: 09/936,480

Art Unit: 2855

deformation. It has been held that where general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention taught by Mitani et al. to include the diaphragm, the silicon oxide film (46), and the polysilicon film (48) as taught by for Barth, as well as the thickness and distance, for the purpose of improving the semiconductor transducer and the diaphragm structure.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marissa L Ferguson whose telephone number is 703-305-3194. The examiner can normally be reached on (M-F) 7:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin R Fuller can be reached on 703-308-0079.

Marissa L Ferguson Examiner Art Unit 2855

August 8, 2002

Benjamin R. Fuller Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800